arXiv:0910.1893v1 [math.AP] 10 Oct 2009

A NON-LOCAL POPULATION MODEL OF LOGISTIC TYPE EQUATION

LI MA, LIANG CHENG

Abstract. In this paper, we propose a new non-local population model of logistic type equation on a bounded Lipschitz domain in the whole Euclidean space. This model preserves the L^2 norm, which is called mass, of the solution on the domain. We show that this model has the global existence, stability and asymptotic behavior at time infinity.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35J60, 47Gxx, 65Nxx, 58J05

Keywords: population model, non-local flow, norm preservation, global existence, stability

1. Introduction

In this work, we propose a new population model with non-local term. This non-local term keeps the mass, a global quantity to be defined below, constant for all time. Let's first review some previous study of population modelings.

After a critical study of Malthus's population model, people believe that a good population model should have good behavior. This makes it come to the logistic model, which is a slight modification of Malthus's model. By definition, the logistic model is a population model such that it describes the changes over time of a population occupying a single small region. For more models and the history of population modeling, we refer to the work [15], and the books [7] and [13]. In mathematical language, the logistic model can be stated as below. Let P be the population quantity. Then the change rate of P is the difference between the birth rate $\frac{dB}{dt}$ and the death rate $\frac{dD}{dt}$, i.e.,

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{dB}{dt} - \frac{dD}{dt}.$$

Date: September 26th, 2009.

* The research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 10631020 and SRFDP 20060003002.

From the experimental observation, we put

$$\frac{dB}{dt} = aP + bP^2$$

and

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = cP + dP^2.$$

where a, b, c, d are constants such that $a > c$ and $d > b$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = (a-c)P - (d-b)P^2.$$

Let

$$r = a - c$$

and

$$K = \frac{a-c}{d-b}.$$

Then we get the logistic model

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = rP(1 - \frac{P}{K}).$$

Here the growth rate r represents the change at which the population may grow if it were unencumbered by environmental degradation, and the parameter K represents the carrying capacity of the system considered. By definition, the carrying capacity is the population level at which the birth and death rates of a species exactly match, resulting in a stable population over time. Hence in some modeling, one may assume that $K = K(t)$ is a periodic function in time variable.

The drawback of the model above is that it ignores the impact of the environmental condition to the population. When the environmental condition on the region D, a bounded Lipschitz domain in R^n,is considered, one encounters the following diffusion model of logistic type on D

$$u_t = \Delta u + ru(1 - \frac{u}{K}), \tag{1}$$

where $u = u(t, x)$ is the population quantity such that $u = u(t, x) > 0$ for $x \in D$ and $u(t, x) = 0$ on ∂D, $t > 0$. (1) will be also called the Logistic equation as considered in [3]. Note that the equation (1) is a local model such that the value $u(x, t)$ at (x, t) depends only on its immediate surroundings. We refer to [3], [6], and [14] for related models.

We now turn to our main subject. We now turn to our new subject. In this paper, we shall modify (2) to the following non-local logistic equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u + a(x)(u - u^p) \quad \text{in } D \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) = g(x) \quad \text{in } D, \\ u(x,t) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

where $p > 1$ and $a(x) > 0$ is a non-trivial Lipschtiz function on the closure of the domain D, which has the positive solution and preserves the L^2 the norm. By definition, we call the integral quantity of $u(x,t)$, $\int_D u^2 dx$, the mass of the population model. Likewise,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D u^2 dx = \int_D uu_t = -\int_D |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda(t)\int_D u^2 dx + \int_D a(u^2 - u^{p+1})dx.$$

Thus, one must have $\lambda(t) = \frac{\int_D (|\nabla u|^2 + a(u^{p+1} - u^2))dx}{\int_D g^2 dx}$ to preserve the L^2 norm. Without loss of generality we assume $\int_D g^2 dx = 1$. Then we consider the following problem on the bounded domain D

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u + a(u - u^p) \quad \text{in } D \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ u(x,0) = g(x) \quad \text{in } D \\ u(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $p > 1$, $\lambda(t) = \int_D (|\nabla u|^2 + a(u^{p+1} - u^2))dx$, $g(x) \geq 0$ in D, $\int_D g^2 dx = 1$ and $g \in C^1(D)$.

Similar to the global existence results obtained in C.Caffarelli and F.Lin [2] and our previous work [10] (see also related works [11], [9], and [8]), we have following result.

Theorem 1. *Problem (2) has a global solution* $u(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, H_0^1(D)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{p+1}(D)) \cap L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, H^2(D))$.

Remark 2. *We note that solutions of (2) have automatically higher regularity for* $t > 0$. *Indeed, the bound of* $\lambda(t)$ *(see (11)) and the standard parabolic estimates imply that solutions are Hölder continuous. Then coming back to* $\lambda(t)$*, it would be a Hölder continuous function in time. A bootstrap argument implies that* u *is smooth in both spatial and time variables if we assume* a *is smooth function.*

We also have the stability results for (2).

Theorem 3. *Let* u, v *be the two bounded solutions to problem (2) with initial data* g_u, g_v *at* $t = 0$*, where* $g_u, g_v \in H^1(D) \cap L^\infty(D)$. *Then*

$$||u - v||_{L^2}^2 \leq ||g_u - g_v||_{L^2}^2 \exp(C_1 t)$$

and

$$||u - v||_{H^1}^2 \leq ||g_u - g_v||_{H^1}^2 \exp(C_2 t),$$

where C_1, C_2 *are the constants depending on the upper bound of* $||g_u||_{H^1(D)}, ||g_v||_{H^1(D)}$ *and* $||g_u||_{L^\infty}, ||g_v||_{L^\infty}$. *In particular, the solution to problem (2) is unique.*

As the simple applications to theorem 1, we can study asymptotic behavior of $u(t)$ of problem (2).

Corollary 4. *Suppose* $u(t)$ *is the solution to problem (2). Then one can take* $t_i \to \infty$ *such that* $\lambda(t_i) \to \lambda_\infty$, $u(x, t_i) \rightharpoonup u_\infty(x)$ *in* $H_0^1(D)$ *and* u_∞ *solves the equation* $\Delta u_\infty + \lambda_\infty u_\infty + a(u_\infty - u_\infty^p) = 0$ *in* D *with* $\int_D |u_\infty|^2 dx = 1$.

In the next section, we prove the global existence, stability and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the problem (2). In particular, we give the proofs of theorem 1 to theorem 3, and corollary 4. In section **??** we give our conclusion based on our study of problem (2).

2. GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND STABILITY PROPERTY

In this section we study the global existence, stability and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the problem (2).

Proof of theorem 1. Let us define a series $u^{(k)}$ as

$$(3)\begin{cases} u^{(0)} = g, \lambda^{(k)}(t) = \int_D (|\nabla u^{(k)}|^2 + a(x)((u^{(k)})^{p+1} - (u^{(k)})^2) dx, \\ \partial_t u^{(k+1)} = \Delta u^{(k+1)} + \lambda^{(k)}(t) u^{(k+1)} + a(x)(u^{(k+1)} - (u^{(k+1)})^p), \\ u^{(k+1)}(x, 0) = g(x), \\ u^{k+1}(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

a series of initial boundary value problems of linear parabolic systems.

To prove the convergence of series $\{u^{(k)}\}$ constructed above, we estimate for $k \geq 0$

(4)

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \int_D |\Delta u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + p\int_D a(x)(u^{(k+1)})^{p-1}|\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx$$

$$= \lambda^{(k)}(t)\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \int_D a(x)|\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \int_D (\nabla u^{(k+1)} \cdot \nabla a)(u^{(k+1)} - (u^{(k+1)})^p) dx,$$

(5)

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \int_D |u_t^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D a(x)(u^{(k+1)})^{p+1} dx$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{(k)}(t)}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D |u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D a(x)|u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx.$$

(6)

$$\frac{1}{p+1}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D (u^{(k+1)})^{p+1} dx + \int_D p(u^{(k+1)})^{p-1}|\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \int_D a(x)(u^{(k+1)})^{2p} dx$$

$$= \lambda^{(k)}(t)\int_D (u^{(k+1)})^{p+1}dx + \int_D a(x)(u^{(k+1)})^{p+1}dx.$$

Now we denote $M = ||a||_{C^1(D)}$. By (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2dx \qquad (7)\\
\leq\ & (\lambda^{(k)}(t)+M)\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2dx + \int_D (\nabla u^{(k+1)}\cdot\nabla a)(u^{(k+1)} - (u^{(k+1)})^p)dx\\
\leq\ & (\lambda^{(k)}(t)+M)\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2dx + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2|\nabla a|^2dx\\
& +\epsilon\int_D (u^{(k+1)} - (u^{(k+1)})^p)^2dx\\
\leq\ & (\lambda^{(k)}(t)+M+\frac{M^2}{4\epsilon})\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2dx + \epsilon c_1\int_D (u^{(k+1)})^{2p}dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is a constant only depending on D. Moreover, by (6), we have

$$\frac{1}{p+1}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D (u^{(k+1)})^{p+1}dx + \int_D a(x)(u^{(k+1)})^{2p}dx \leq (\lambda^{(k)}(t)+M)\int_D (u^{(k+1)})^{p+1}dx. \tag{8}$$

Now, we denote $\widetilde{\lambda}(t) = \int_D |\nabla u|^2dx + M\int_D u^{p+1}dx$. Note that $a(x)$ is a positive Lipschitz function on the compact domain D and we may assume $a(x) \geq c_0 > 0$. Hence by (7) and (8), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{\lambda}^{k+1}(t) + ((p+1)Mc_0 - 2\epsilon c_1)\int_D (u^{(k+1)})^{2p}dx \leq c_2(\lambda^k(t)+c_2)\widetilde{\lambda}^{k+1}(t),$$

where c_2 is a constant only depending on p, M, ϵ. We choose ϵ such that $(p+1)Mc_0 = 3\epsilon c_1$ and denote $c_3 = \epsilon c_1$, combining with the fact $\lambda^k(t) \leq \widetilde{\lambda}^k(t)$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{\lambda}^{k+1}(t) + c_3\int_D (u^{(k+1)})^{2p}dx \leq c_2(\widetilde{\lambda}^k(t)+c_2)\widetilde{\lambda}^{k+1}(t). \tag{9}$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{\lambda}^{k+1}(t) \leq (\int_D |\nabla g|^2dx + M\int_D g^{p+1}dx + c_2)\exp(c_2\int_0^t (\widetilde{\lambda}^k + c_2)dt). \tag{10}$$

By induction, there is δ depending only on $\int_D |\nabla g|^2dx$, $M\int_D g^{p+1}dx$ and c_2 such that

$$\lambda^{(k+1)}(t) \leq \widetilde{\lambda}^{k+1}(t) \leq c_4, \quad \text{for } t\in[0,\delta], k\geq 1, \tag{11}$$

where c_4 is a constant depending on $\int_D |\nabla g|^2 dx$, $M\int_D g^{p+1}dx$ and c_2. Hence

$$\int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dx \le c_4,\ M\int_D |u^{(k+1)}|^{p+1} dx \le c_4 \quad \text{for } t \in [0,\delta], k \ge 1. \tag{12}$$

Integrate (9) with t, we can conclude that

$$\int_0^\delta \int_D |u^{(k+1)}|^{2p} dxdt \le c_5. \tag{13}$$

Now integrate (4) with t, also by (13), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_D |\nabla u(\delta)^{(k+1)}|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_D |\nabla u(0)^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \int_0^\delta \int_D |\Delta u^{(k+1)}|^2 dxdt$$
$$+\int_0^\delta \int_D p(u^{(k+1)})^{p-1} |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dxdt \le \int_0^\delta (\lambda^{(k)}(t) + M + \frac{M^2}{4\epsilon}) \int_D |\nabla u^{(k+1)}|^2 dxdt + c_3 c_5.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\delta \int_D |\Delta u^{(k+1)}|^2 dxdt \le c_6, \tag{14}$$

where c_6 depending on $\int_D |g|^2 dx$, $\int_D |\nabla g|^2 dx$, c_3, c_5, M and δ. Integrate (5) with t, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\int_D |\nabla u(\delta)^{(k+1)}|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_D |\nabla u(0)^{(k+1)}|^2 dx + \int_0^\delta \int_D |u_t^{(k+1)}|^2 dxdt \\ &+\frac{1}{p+1}\int_D a(x)(u^{(k+1)}(t))^{p+1} dx - \frac{1}{p+1}\int_D a(x) g^{p+1} dx \\ = &\int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda^{(k)}(t)}{2} \frac{d}{dt}\int_D |u^{(k+1)}|^2 dxdt + \frac{1}{2}\int_D a(x)|u(\delta)^{(k+1)}|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_D a(x)|u(0)^{(k+1)}|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\delta \int_D |u_t^{(k+1)}|^2 dxdt \le c_7, \tag{15}$$

where c_7 depending on $\int_D |g|^2 dx$, $\int_D |\nabla g|^2 dx$, $\int_D g^{p+1} dx$, c_3, c_5, M and δ.

By (11), (12), (14) and (15), there is a subsequence of $\{u^{(k)}\}$ (still denoted by $\{u^{(k)}\}$) and a function $u(t) \in L^\infty([0,\delta], H^1(D)) \cap L^2([0,\delta], H^2(D)) \cap L^\infty([0,\delta], L^{p+1}(D))$ with $\partial_t u(t) \in L^2([0,\delta], L^2(D))$ such that $u^{(k)} \rightharpoonup u$ weak* in $L^\infty([0,\delta], H^1(D))$, weakly in $L^2([0,\delta], H^2(D))$ and weakly in $L^\infty([0,\delta], L^{p+1}(D))$. Then we have $u^{(k)} \to u$ strongly in $L^2([0,\delta], H^1(D))$ and $u(t) \in C([0,\delta], L^2(D))$. Hence $\lambda^{(k)}(t) \to \lambda(t)$ strongly in $L^2([0,\delta])$. Thus, we get a local strong solution to problem (2). Next, starting from

$t = \delta$ we can extend the local solution to $[0, 2\delta]$ in exactly the same way as above. By induction, we have a global solution to problem (2). □

The stability result will be proved in the similar manner as in [10].

Proof of theorem 3. By the arguments in theorem 1, we can take a constant C such that all $||u||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,H^1(D))}$, $||v||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,H^1(D))}$, $||u||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,L^\infty(D))}$, $||v||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,L^\infty(D))}$, $||\lambda_u(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ and $||\lambda_v(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ not less than C, where C is only depending on upper bound of $||g_u||_{H^1(D)}, ||g_v||_{H^1(D)}$, $||g_u||_{L^\infty(D)}, ||g_v||_{L^\infty(D)}$. We still denote $M = ||a||_{W^{1,\infty}}$. First we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D (u-v)^2dx &= \int_D (u-v)(u_t - v_t)dx \\ &= \int_D (u-v)(\Delta(u-v) + \lambda_u(t)u - \lambda_v(t)v + a(x)(u-v) \\ &\quad -a(x)(u^p - v^p))dx \\ &\le -\int_D |\nabla(u-v)|^2dx + \int_D (u-v)(\lambda_u(t)u - \lambda_v(t)v)dx \\ &\quad +\int_D a(x)(u-v)^2dx\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_D (u-v)(\lambda_u(t)u - \lambda_v(t)v)dx \\ =\ & (\lambda_u(t) - \lambda_v(t))\int_D (u-v)udx + \lambda_v(t)\int_D (u-v)^2dx \\ \le\ & |\lambda_u(t) - \lambda_v(t)|(\int_D (u-v)^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_D u^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_v(t)\int_D (u-v)^2dx \\ \le\ & C|\lambda_u(t) - \lambda_v(t)|(\int_D (u-v)^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\int_D (u-v)^2dx,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&|\lambda_u(t) - \lambda_v(t)| \qquad (16) \\ =\ & |\int_D ((|\nabla u|^2 - |\nabla v|^2) + a(u^{p+1} - v^{p+1})) - a(u^2 - v^2)dx| \\ \le\ & \int_D |\nabla(u-v)|(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)dx + |\int_D a(u-v)(\frac{u^{p+1} - v^{p+1}}{u-v})dx| \\ & +\int_D a(u-v)(u+v)dx \\ \le\ & C(\int_D |\nabla(u-v)|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} + MC(\int_D (u-v)^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D (u-v)^2dx\\
\le\ & -\int_D |\nabla(u-v)|^2dx + C^2(\int_D |\nabla(u-v)|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_D (u-v)^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+(MC^2+M+C)\int_D (u-v)^2dx\\
\le\ & -\frac{1}{2}\int_D |\nabla(u-v)|^2dx + (\frac{C^4}{2}+MC^2+M+C)\int_D (u-v)^2dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Gronwall inequality [5], we have

$$||u-v||_{L^2}^2 \le ||g_u-g_v||_{L^2}^2 \exp((\frac{C^4}{2}+MC^2+M+C)t).$$

Further more,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D |\nabla(u-v)|^2dx\\
=\ & -\int_D \Delta(u-v)\cdot(u-v)_t dx\\
=\ & -\int_D \Delta(u-v)\cdot(\Delta(u-v)+\lambda_u(t)u+a(u-v)-a(u^p-v^p))dx\\
=\ & -\int_D (\Delta(u-v))^2dx + \int_D \nabla(u-v)\cdot\nabla(\lambda_u(t)u-\lambda_v(t)v)dx\\
&+\int_D \nabla(u-v)\cdot\nabla(a(u-v))dx + \int_D \Delta(u-v)\cdot a(u^p-v^p)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_D \Delta(u-v)\cdot a(u^p-v^p)dx\\
\le\ & \frac{1}{2}\int_D (\Delta(u-v))^2dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_D a^2(u^p-v^p)^2dx\\
=\ & \frac{1}{2}\int_D (\Delta(u-v))^2dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_D a^2(u-v)^2(\frac{u^p-v^p}{u-v})^2dx\\
\le\ & \frac{1}{2}\int_D (\Delta(u-v))^2dx + \frac{M^2C}{2}\int_D (u-v)^2dx
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_D \nabla(u-v)\cdot\nabla(\lambda_u(t)u-\lambda_v(t)v)dx\\
= &\ (\lambda_u(t)-\lambda_v(t))\int_D \nabla(u-v)\cdot\nabla u dx+\lambda_v(t)\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx\\
\leq &\ |\lambda_u(t)-\lambda_v(t)|(\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_D|\nabla u|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\lambda_v(t)\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx\\
\leq &\ C|\lambda_u(t)-\lambda_v(t)|(\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}+C\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx\\
\leq &\ (C^2+\frac{MC^2}{2}+C)\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx+\frac{MC^2}{2}\int_D(u-v)^2dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows by (16). Furthermore, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_D \nabla(u-v)\cdot\nabla(a(u-v))dx\\
= &\ \int_D a|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx+\int_D(u-v)(\nabla(u-v)\cdot\nabla a)dx\\
\leq &\ \frac{3M}{2}\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx+\frac{M}{2}\int_D(u-v)^2dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx \ \leq \ C_3\int_D|\nabla(u-v)|^2dx+C_4\int_D(u-v)^2dx,$$

where C_3 and C_4 are the constants depending on C and M. By the Gronwall inequality [5], we have

$$||\nabla(u-v)||_{H^1}^2\leq||\nabla(g_u-g_v)||_{H^1}^2\exp(C_2t).$$

□

Similar to [10], we have

Proof of corollary 4.

Since

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D|\nabla u|^2dx=-\int_D(u_t)^2dx-\frac{1}{p+1}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D u^{p+1}dx+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_D au^{p+1}dx,$$

we have

(17)

$$\lambda(t)+2\int_0^t\int_D|u_t|^2dxdt=\int_D(|\nabla g|^2+\frac{2}{p+1}ag^{p+1}-ag^2)dx+\frac{p-1}{p+1}\int_D au^{p+1}dx.$$

By the arguments in theorem 1, we have $\lambda(t)$ is continuous, uniformly bounded in $t\in[0,\infty)$. Moreover, $u\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,H^1(D))$ and $u\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,L^{p+1}(D))$. Then we can take a subsequence $\{t_i\}$ with $t_i\to\infty$

such that $u_i(x) = u(x, t_i)$, $\lambda(t_i) \to \lambda_\infty$. By (17) and theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_i \to u_\infty & \text{in } L^2(D), \\ u_i \rightharpoonup u_\infty & \text{in } H^1(D) \text{ and } L^p(D), \\ \partial_t u_i - (\lambda(t_i) - \lambda_\infty)u_i \to 0 & \text{in } L^2(D). \end{cases}$$

Since $\partial_t u_i - (\lambda(t_i) - \lambda_\infty)u_i = \Delta u_i + \lambda_\infty u_i + a(u - u_i^p)$, $u_i \in H^1$ solves the equation $\Delta u_\infty + \lambda_\infty u_\infty + a(u_\infty - u_\infty^p) = 0$ in M and $\int_D |u_\infty|^2 dx = 1$. $\square$

3. Conclusion

In general, we may assume that a is a smooth function both in space variable and time variable and $a = a(x, t)$ is a periodic function in time variable t. We may also assume the spatial domain D is a compact manifold (with or without boundary) as in the works [1] and [12]. We leave this subject for future research.

Based on our study of (2) above we would like to point out that the non-local population model of logistic type has the advantage that the parameter $\lambda(t)$ plays a role like a control term so that the flow exists globally and has nice behavior at time infinity. This research shows that global terms in population modeling should be considered in the future.

References

[1] T. Aubin, *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry*, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[2] C.Caffarelli, F.Lin, *Nonlocal heat flows preserving the L^2 energy*, Discrete and continuous dynamical systems. 23, 49-64 (2009).

[3] Xianzhe Dai and Li Ma, *Mass under Ricci flow*, Commun. Math. Phys., 274, 65-80 (2007).

[4] Y.Du and L.Ma, *Logistic type equations on $\mathbb{R}^N$ by a squeezing method involving boundary blow-up solutions*, J.London Math. Soc., 64(2001), 107-124.

[5] L.Evans, *Partial Differential Equations,* Graduate studies in Math., AMS, 1986

[6] Jiang Jifa, Shi, Junping, *Bistability dynamics in some structured ecological models*, a chapter in Spatial Ecology, a book published by CRC Press, 2009

[7] Kingsland, Sharon (1995). *Modeling Nature: Episodes in the History of Population Ecology.* University of Chicago Press. pp. 127-146.

[8] L.Ma, A.Q.Zhu, *On a length preserving curve flow.* Preprint,2008.

[9] L.Ma, L.Cheng, *A non-local area preserving curve flow.* Preprint, 2008.

[10] L.Ma, L.Cheng, *non-local heat flows and gradient estimates on closed manifolds.* J. Evol. Eqs., 2009.

[11] Li Ma, *Gradient estimates for a simple elliptic equation on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 241(2006)374-382.

[12] P. Li, S.T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schröinger operator*, Acta Math. 156 (1986) 153-01.
[13] Renshaw, Eric (1991). *Modeling Biological Populations in Space and Time.* Cambridge University Press. pp. 6-9.
[14] Shi, Junping, Sze-Bi Hsu, *Relaxation oscillator profile of limit cycle in predator-prey system.* Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series B, 11 (2009) no. 4, 893-911.
[15] Uyenoyama, Marcy; Rama Singh, Ed. (2004). *The Evolution of Population Biology.* Cambridge University Press. pp. 1-19.

Department of mathematical sciences, Tsinghua university, Beijing 100084, China
E-mail address: `lma@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`